



Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 9 (1998) 2889-2894

Asymmetric allenylation of aliphatic aldehydes catalyzed by a chiral formamide

Katsuhiko Iseki,* Yoshichika Kuroki and Yoshiro Kobayashi

MEC Laboratory, Daikin Industries, Ltd, Miyukigaoka, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0841, Japan

Received 29 June 1998; accepted 17 July 1998

Abstract

(S,S)-N,N-Bis(α -methylbenzyl)formamide in combination with HMPA catalyzes the allenylation of aliphatic aldehydes with propargyltrichlorosilane with good enantioselectivity (up to 95% e.e.). © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The present paper discloses the first catalytic enantioselective allenylation of aldehydes. 1 N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) is an effective Lewis base that catalyzes some important reactions such as allylation 2 and allenylation of carbonyl compounds. We have previously succeeded in the asymmetric allylation of aliphatic aldehydes with allyl- and crotyltrichlorosilanes mediated by the chiral DMF analog, (S,S)-N,N-bis $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)formamide 1. The present addition of a propa-1,2-dienyl group to aliphatic aldehydes is carried out enantioselectively using the chiral catalyst 1 in combination with the additive, hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA).

2. Results and discussion

Treatment of cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde **2a** with 1.5 equiv. of a mixture of propargyl- and allenyl-trichlorosilanes (**3**:**4**=75:25)⁵ in the presence of catalyst **1** (20 mol%) and HMPA (100 mol%) in dichloromethane at -78°C for 14 days produced (*S*)-enriched propa-1,2-dienyl carbinol **5a** in 71% yield with 79% e.e. (Table 1, entry 1).⁶ However, propargyl carbinol **6a** was obtained in only a small amount (**5a**:**6a**=98:2), suggesting that formamide **1** catalyzes reaction of the aldehyde with allenylsilane **4** very sluggishly.⁷ Although a decrease in the amount of catalyst **1** (10 mol%) retained

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: 81-298-58-5060; fax: 81-298-58-5082; e-mail: iseki@mec.daikin.co.jp

Table 1 Asymmetric allenylation of aliphatic aldehydes ${\bf 2}$ with propagyltrichlorosilane ${\bf 3}$ catalyzed by formamide ${\bf 1}$

Entry	Aldehyde (RCHO 2)	Formamide 1 (mol%)	HMPA (mol%)	Time (d)	Yield (5 + 6) ^a (%)	5/6 ^b	e.e. (5) ^c (%)	
1	cyclohexyl (2a)	20	100	14	71	98/2	79 (S)	(5a)
2	cyclohexyl (2a)	10	50	14	35	98/2	79 (S)	(5a)
3	cyclohexyl (2a)	10	0	7	28	98/2	43 (S)	(5a)
4	$PhCH_2CH_2$ (2b)	20	100	14	71	99/1	79	(5b)
5	$(C_2H_5)_2CH(2c)$	20	100	14	70	96/4	77	(5c)
6	tert-butyl (2d)	20	100	14	55	94/6	95	(5d)
7 ^d	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₅ (2e)	20	100	14	37	93/7	56	(5e)
8	Ph (2f)	40	200	4	59	96/4	0	(5f)

^a Isolated yield. ^b Determined by GC analysis. ^c Determined by HPLC analysis of the corresponding 3,5-dinitrobenzoate (Chiralcel OD-H or AD, Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd.). ^d Carried out in acetone.

the enantioselectivity (entry 2, 79% e.e.), the absence of HMPA markedly suppressed it (entry 3, 43% e.e.). Hydrocinnamaldehyde **2b** and 2-ethylbutanal **2c** provided the corresponding propa-1,2-dienyl carbinols, **5b** and **5c**, with an enantiomeric excess of 79% and 77%, respectively (entries 4 and 5). The highest enantioselectivity was attained with 2,2-dimethylpropanal **2d** (entry 6, 95% e.e.). With heptanal **2e**, the reaction in acetone was found to be more effective in terms of enantioselectivity than that in dichloromethane (entry 7, 56% e.e.). A typical aromatic aldehyde, benzaldehyde **2f**, gave the corresponding alcohol **5f** in essentially racemic form (entry 8). ^{10,11}

In conclusion, we have succeeded in the first catalytic enantioselective allenylation of aliphatic aldehydes using the chiral formamide 1. Attempts are presently being made to improve the allenylation, especially the catalytic activity, ¹² and to apply the catalyst 1 to other catalytic asymmetric reactions.

3. Experimental

3.1. General

All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere with magnetic stirring in oven-dried glassware. Dichloromethane and acetone were distilled from CaH_2 and K_2CO_3 , respectively, immediately before use. Other solvents and reagents were used as supplied or purified. Anhydrous MgSO₄ was used as the drying agent. TLC was carried out with pre-coated Kieselgel $60F_{254}$ plates (Merck). Silica gel 60 (Merck, 230–400 mesh) was used for column chromatography. GLC analysis was carried out on a Shimadzu GC-17A instrument using a J&W Scientific (30 m×0.25 mm) DB-1 capillary column whose film thickness was $0.25~\mu m$. Liquid chromatographic analysis was performed on a Shimadzu LC-10A at 254 nm using a chiral column (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H and AD columns). Optical rotations were measured at 589 nm using a 1.0 dm cell with a total volume of 1 mL on a JASCO DIP-370 polarimeter.

Melting points were measured on a Yanaco MP-500D micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were taken either neat or as KBr pellets on a Perkin–Elmer 1600 FT-IR. Absorption was expressed in reciprocal centimeters (cm⁻¹). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 200 MHz and 50 MHz, respectively, on a Varian Gemini-200 instrument. ¹H NMR signals were expressed in parts per million (ppm) downfield from TMS as the internal standard (δ). ¹³C NMR spectra were given with CHCl₃ (77.0 ppm) as the internal standard. Coupling constants are in hertz. CDCl₃ served as solvent for ¹H and ¹³C NMR. Low- and high-resolution mass spectral analyses were performed at 70 eV electron-impact (EI) using a Kratos CONCEPT-1H double-focusing magnetic sector spectrometer. Elemental analysis was carried out at the Toray Research Center, Inc., Tokyo.

3.2. Materials

(S,S)-Bis(α -methylbenzyl)amine was purchased from AZmax Co. Ltd., Chiba, Japan. Acetic formic anhydride was prepared according to reported procedures. ¹³

3.3. Preparation of (S,S)-N,N-bis $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)formamide I

To a solution of (S,S)-bis(α -methylbenzyl)amine (5 g, 22.2 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 mL) was added dropwise acetic formic anhydride (96% purity, 5.1 g, 55.6 mmol) at room temperature. After stirring for 1 h, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase extracted with dichloromethane (2×50 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine and dried. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by flash chromatography (SiO₂, EtOAc:n-hexane=1:3) and recrystallized from Et₂O:n-hexane to give 1 (5.5 g, 98% yield) as colourless needles: m.p. 80.2–81.8°C; [α]_D²⁵ –214.1 (c 1.02, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): 2976, 1645, 1248, 697 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.67 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.71 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H), 4.50 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.30–6.78 (m, 10H), 8.33 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 17.1, 22.4, 50.7, 52.9, 126.7, 127.4, 127.7, 128.1, 128.3, 139.8, 141.0, 162.6; MS: m/z 253 [M⁺], 148, 120, 105; anal. calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NO: C, 80.6; H, 7.6; N, 5.5. Found: C, 80.6; H, 7.5; N, 5.5.

3.4. Preparation of propargyltrichlorosilane 3

A mixture of trichlorosilane (25 g, 184.6 mmol), propargyl chloride (13.4 mL, 184.6 mmol) and Et_2O (150 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of CuCl (1.83 g, 18.5 mmol) and Et_3N (24.4 mL, 175.4 mmol) in Et_2O (150 mL) at room temperature. After 16 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered. Distillation of the filtrate gave a mixture of 3 and 4 (3:4=75:25, 6.65 g, 21%) at 55–58°C/85 torr.

- 3: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.11 (t, J=3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (d, J=3.0 Hz, 2H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 15.4, 71.3, 109.2; MS: m/z 176 [M⁺], 174 [M⁺], 172 [M⁺]; HRMS calcd for C₃H₃Cl₃Si [M⁺]: 171.9070. Found: 171.9073.
- 4: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.92 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.33 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 1H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 74.1, 84.4, 216.4; MS: m/z 176 [M⁺], 174 [M⁺], 172 [M⁺]; HRMS calcd for C₃H₃Cl₃Si [M⁺]: 171.9070. Found: 171.9077.

3.5. General procedure for the preparation of 3,5-dinitrobenzoate derivatives of propa-1,2-dienyl carbinols 5

To a solution of an optically active propa-1,2-dienyl carbinol **5** (65 µmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL) were added Et₃N (0.5 mL, 3.59 mmol), 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl chloride (77 mg, 0.33 mmol), and a catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, poured into ice water and extracted with Et₂O. The combined extracts were washed with 0.5 N HCl, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and brine, dried and filtered. After evaporation of the solvent, a solution of the residue in dichloromethane was passed through a short silica gel column. The 3,5-dinitrobenzoate was recovered completely by elution with dichloromethane and the combined fractions were concentrated *in vacuo*. The obtained residue was analyzed by HPLC using a chiral column (Daicel Chiralcel OD-H and AD columns) to determine the enantiomeric excess.

3.6. Typical procedure for allenylation catalyzed by the chiral formamide 1. (S)-1-Cyclohexylbuta-2,3-dien-1-ol 5a

To a solution of cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde **2** (112 mg, 1.0 mmol), catalyst **1** (50.7 mg, 0.2 mmol) and HMPA (175 μ L, 1.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL) was added dropwise a mixture of **3** and **4** (263 mg, 1.5 mmol) at -78° C. After stirring at -78° C for 14 days, the reaction mixture was poured into an ice-cooled mixture of Et₂O (30 mL) and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (30 mL) and stirred for 15 min. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase extracted with Et₂O (2×30 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The oily residue was purified by flash chromatography (SiO₂, EtOAc:*n*-hexane=1:20) to afford **5a** and **6a** (**5a**:**6a**=98:2, 108 mg, 71% yield) as a colourless oil. [α]_D²⁴ +10.5 (*c* 1.02, benzene) (79% e.e.); IR (neat): 3360, 2924, 1955, 1450, 1015, 841 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.82–1.91 (m, 11H), 1.75 (s, 1H), 3.92 (ddt, *J*=2.2, 6.6, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (dd, *J*=2.2, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 5.21 (dt, *J*=6.5, 6.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 26.1, 26.5, 28.3, 28.6, 44.1, 74.0, 77.1, 93.2, 112.3, 207.2; MS: *m/z* 152 [M⁺], 113, 95; HRMS calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O [M⁺]: 152.1201. Found: 152.1196. HPLC analysis of the corresponding 3,5-dinitrobenzoate: t_R (retention time) (minor), 4.1 min (10.4%); t_R (major), 7.7 min (89.6%) (Chiralcel AD, *n*-hexane:EtOH=9:1, 2.0 mL/min). Elution with *n*-hexane:EtOAc (3:1) recovered the chiral formamide **1** (49.1 mg) without racemization.

3.7. 6-Phenylhexa-1,2-dien-4-ol 5b

Compound **5b** (**5b**:**6b**=99:1, 71%) was obtained by the same procedure as **5a**: a colourless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ –2.4 (*c* 1.41, CHCl₃) (79% e.e.); IR (neat): 3384, 2928, 1955, 1716, 1454, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.69 (d, *J*=4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (dt, *J*=7.6, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.77 (dt, *J*=4.4, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 4.12–4.30 (m, 1H), 4.91 (dd, *J*=2.5, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 5.31 (dt, *J*=6.5, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.12–7.39 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 31.7, 39.0, 68.9, 77.8, 94.7, 125.9, 128.4, 128.5, 141.8, 207.1; MS: m/z 174 [M⁺], 156, 91; HRMS calcd for C₁₂H₁₄O [M⁺]: 174.1045. Found: 174.1042. HPLC analysis of the corresponding 3,5-dinitrobenzoate: t_R (major), 16.7 min (89.5%); t_R (minor), 22.0 min (10.5%) (Chiralcel AD, n-hexane:EtOH=9:1, 1.0 mL/min).

3.8. 5-Ethylhepta-1,2-dien-4-ol 5c

Compound **5c** (**5c**:**6c**=96:4, 70%) was obtained by the same procedure as **5a**: a colourless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ +38.8 (*c* 0.90, CHCl₃) (77% e.e.); IR (neat): 3360, 2963, 1956, 1461, 1021, 841 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃):

δ 0.92 (t, J=7.3 Hz, 6H), 1.20–1.60 (m, 5H), 1.62 (s, 1H), 4.13–4.28 (m, 1H), 4.86 (dd, J=2.2, 6.4 Hz, 2H), 5.23 (dt, J=6.4, 6.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 11.5, 11.6, 21.5, 21.8, 47.1, 71.2, 77.3, 93.3, 208.9; MS: m/z 140 [M⁺], 125, 111, 101; HRMS calcd for C₉H₁₆O [M⁺]: 140.1201. Found: 140.1208. HPLC analysis of the corresponding 3,5-dinitrobenzoate: t_R (minor), 4.2 min (11.6%); t_R (major), 7.8 min (88.4%) (Chiralcel AD, n-hexane:EtOH=20:1, 2.0 mL/min).

3.9. 5,5-Dimethylhexa-1,2-dien-4-ol 5d

The 3,5-dinitrobenzoate of compound **5d** (**5d**:**6d**=94:6, 55%) was obtained by the same procedure as **5a**: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +78.5 (c 0.87, CHCl₃) (95% e.e.); IR (KBr): 3103, 2969, 1732, 1548, 1345, 1278, 1167 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.08 (s, 9H), 4.78–4.97 (m, 2H), 5.25–5.42 (m, 2H), 9.15–9.25 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 25.7, 35.3, 77.1, 81.9, 87.2, 122.3, 129.3, 134.3, 148.6, 161.7, 209.2; MS: m/z 320 [M⁺], 305, 281, 195; HRMS calcd for C₁₅H₁₆N₂O₆ [M⁺]: 320.1008. Found: 320.1013. HPLC analysis of the 3,5-dinitrobenzoate: t_R (minor), 14.3 min (2.7%); t_R (major), 15.8 min (97.3%) (Chiralcel OD-H, n-hexane:EtOH=20:1, 1.0 mL/min).

3.10. Deca-1,2-dien-4-ol 5e

Compound **5e** (**5e**:**6e**=93:7, 37%) was obtained by the same procedure as **5a**, except using acetone instead of dichloromethane: a colourless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +4.1 (c 0.93, CHCl₃) (56% e.e.); IR (neat): 3334, 2929, 1957, 1467, 841 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 0.88 (t, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.20–1.65 (m, 10H), 1.69 (s, 1H), 4.10–4.23 (m, 1H), 4.85 (dd, J=2.4, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.24 (dt, J=6.3, 6.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 14.1, 22.6, 25.4, 29.2, 31.8, 37.5, 69.7, 77.4, 94.9, 206.9; MS: m/z 154 [M⁺], 139, 115, 84, 70; HRMS calcd for C₁₀H₁₈O [M⁺]: 154.1358. Found: 154.1354. HPLC analysis of the corresponding 3,5-dinitrobenzoate: t_R (minor), 11.8 min (21.8%); t_R (major), 13.7 min (78.1%) (Chiralcel OD-H, n-hexane:EtOH=20:1, 1.0 mL/min).

3.11. 1-Phenylbuta-2,3-dien-1-ol 5f

Compound **5f** (**5f**:**6f**=96:4, 59%) was obtained by the same procedure as **5a**: a colourless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ 0.0 (c 0.65, CHCl₃) (0% e.e.); IR (neat): 3380, 1955, 1452, 1024, 850, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.14 (s, 1H), 4.94 (dd, J=2.2, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 5.23–5.33 (m, 1H), 5.46 (dt, J=6.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.60 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 72.0, 78.2, 95.2, 126.1, 127.8, 128.5, 142.8, 207.0; MS: m/z 146 [M⁺], 145, 108, 77; HRMS calcd for C₁₀H₁₀O [M⁺]: 146.0732. Found: 146.0736.

References

- 1. For enantioselective allenylation using a stoichiometric amount of chiral ligands, see: (a) Boldrini, G. P.; Lodi, L.; Tagliavini, E.; Tarasco, C.; Trombini, C.; Umani-Ronchi, A. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 5447-5452. (b) Corey, E. J.; Yu, C.-M.; Lee, D.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 878-879.
- (a) Kobayashi, S.; Nishio, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3453-3456; (b) Kobayashi, S.; Nishio, K. Synthesis 1994, 457-459; (c) Kobayashi, S.; Nishio, K. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6620-6628; (d) Wang, Z.; Wang, D.; Sui, X. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 2261-2262.
- 3. Kobayashi, S.; Nishio, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 6392-6393.
- 4. Iseki, K., Mizuno, S., Kuroki, Y., Kobayashi, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2767-2770.
- 5. Reaction of propargyl chloride with trichlorosilane in the presence of CuCl and Et₃N in Et₂O at room temperature for 16 h generated 3 selectively (3:4=86:14); see Ref. 3. However, the distillation (55–58°C/85 torr) from the reaction mixture caused the isomerization between 3 and 4 (3:4=75:25).

- 6. The absolute configuration of the major enantiomer was determined to be S by comparison of the [α]_D value with reported data. Observed [α]_D value of 5a with 79% e.e.; [α]_D²⁴ +10.5 (c 1.02, benzene). For (R)-enriched alcohol 5a (85% e.e.), see: Lautens, M.; Delanghe, P. H. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8526–8535; [α]_D -10.2 (c 2.1, benzene).
- 7. Kobayashi and Nishio have shown that 3 and 4 react with aldehydes in DMF to afford 5 and 6, respectively; see Ref. 3.
- 8. The reason why HMPA improves the enantioselectivity remains unclear. Both HMPA and 1 may coordinate with 3 to generate a hexavalent silicate.
- 9. The allenylation of 2e using 40 mol% of 1 and 200 mol% of HMPA in dichloromethane at -78°C for 7 days gave 5e in 74% yield with 28% ee (5e:6e=99:1). Acetone provides higher enantiomeric excesses than dichloromethane in the allenylation of straight-chain aldehydes.
- 10. For (S)-enriched alcohol **5f** (47% e.e.), see Ref. 1a; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +45.6 (c 1.2, CCl₄).
- 11. Although HMPA itself catalyzes the allenylation of aromatic aldehydes at -78°C, 1 is not effective in terms of enantioselectivity even in the absence of HMPA; see Ref. 4.
- 12. The present allenylation is very sluggish at -78°C. Elevating the temperature improves the reaction rate but dramatically suppresses the enantioselectivity; the allenylation of **2a** in the presence of **1** (40 mol%) and HMPA (200 mol%) was carried out at -40°C for 7 days to afford (S)-**5a** (**5a**:**6a**=91:9) in 84% yield with 19% e.e.
- 13. Krimen, L. I. Organic Synthesis; John Wiley: New York, 1988; Collect. Vol. 6, pp. 8-9.